Erratum: “Resolution modeling enhances PET imaging” [Med. Phys. 40, 120601 (2013)]
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Due to a misunderstanding, the references in the following sentence of the original paper were incorrectly numbered:

“What my esteemed colleague refers to as true noise [i.e., \(\sigma_{\text{ensemble}}\), or the coefficient-of-variation (COV) when expressed as a percentage] may change little with RM, or instead be amplified twofold or even more in small ROIs for some RM implementations.”

The sentence should have read:

“What my esteemed colleague refers to as true noise [i.e., \(\sigma_{\text{ensemble}}\), or the coefficient-of-variation (COV) when expressed as a percentage] may change little with RM, or instead be amplified twofold or even more in small ROIs for some RM implementations.”

The citations refer to the bibliography in the published point/counterpoint paper.