

## Erratum: “Resolution modeling enhances PET imaging” [*Med. Phys.* 40, 120601 (2013)]

Adam M. Alessio, Ph.D.

*Department of Radiology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195*  
(Tel: 206-543-2419; E-mail: [aalessio@u.washington.edu](mailto:aalessio@u.washington.edu))

Arman Rahmim, Ph.D.

*Department of Radiology and Radiological Science, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21287*  
(Tel: 443-857-2080; E-mail: [arahmim1@jhmi.edu](mailto:arahmim1@jhmi.edu))

Colin G. Orton, Ph.D., Moderator

(Received 4 June 2014; revised 12 June 2014; accepted for publication 23 June 2014; published 3 July 2014)

[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1118/1.4886898>]

Due to a misunderstanding, the references in the following sentence of the original paper<sup>1</sup> were incorrectly numbered:

“What my esteemed colleague refers to as true noise [i.e.,  $\sigma_{\text{ensemble}}$ , or the coefficient-of-variation (COV) when expressed as a percentage] may change little with RM,<sup>2</sup> or instead be amplified twofold<sup>4</sup> or even more<sup>6</sup> in small ROIs for some RM implementations.”

The sentence should have read:

“What my esteemed colleague refers to as true noise [i.e.,  $\sigma_{\text{ensemble}}$ , or the coefficient-of-variation (COV) when expressed as a percentage] may change little with RM,<sup>6</sup> or instead be amplified twofold<sup>10</sup> or even more<sup>12</sup> in small ROIs for some RM implementations.”

The citations refer to the bibliography in the published point/counterpoint paper.

<sup>1</sup>A. M. Alessio, A. Rahmim, and C. G. Orton, “Resolution modeling enhances PET imaging,” *Med. Phys.* 40, 120601 (4pp.) (2013).